



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/734,037	12/11/2003	Giora Biran	FIS920030289US1	8778
23550	7590	08/20/2007	EXAMINER	
HOFFMAN WARNICK & D'ALESSANDRO, LLC			GOODCHILD, WILLIAM J	
75 STATE STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
14TH FLOOR			2145	
ALBANY, NY 12207			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/20/2007	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/734,037	BIRAN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	William J. Goodchild	2145	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 December 2003.

2a)  This action is **FINAL**.                            2b)  This action is non-final.

3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

4)  Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.  
4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)  Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.

6)  Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected.

7)  Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.

8)  Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)  The drawing(s) filed on 11 December 2003 is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a)  All    b)  Some \* c)  None of:  
1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4)  Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_ .  
3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_ .  
5)  Notice of Informal Patent Application  
6)  Other: \_\_\_\_ .

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101***

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 9-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 9 and 16 can be considered to be software in accordance with applicants specification, (page 55, paragraph 129). In order for a claim to be statutory, it must fall within a process, machine, manufacture, or a composition of matter. Software does not fall within a statutory category since it is not a series of steps or acts to constitute a process, not a mechanical device or combination of mechanical devices to constitute a machine, not a tangible physical article or object which is some form of matter to be a product and constitute a manufacture, and not a composition of two or more substances to constitute a composition of matter.

Claims 10-14 and 17-22, which are dependent on claims 9 and 16, are rejected for the same reason.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kagan et al., (hereinafter Kagan), (US Publication No. 2002/0152315).

In reference to claims 1, 9 and 16, Kagan teaches a method / system comprising: placing each out-of-order RDMA message to a buffer [paragraphs 3,11 and 24]; storing information regarding each out-of-order RDMA message on a per TCP hole basis [paragraphs 24 and 46]; and delivering the plurality of RDMA messages in-order [paragraph 24].

In reference to claims 2, 10 and 17, Kagan teaches the method / system of claims 1, 9 and 16 wherein:

storing a number of pending RDMA Read Response messages waiting for a doorbell ring in a connection context on a per TCP hole basis [paragraph 46]; and ringing the doorbell of a network interface controller (NIC) that each of the number of pending RDMA read response messages have been posted to a respective work queue element (WQE) of a read queue upon closing of a respective TCP hole [paragraphs 10, 46 and 47].

In reference to claim 3, Kagan teaches the method / system of claim 2 further comprising:  
processing each WQE [paragraph 48].

In reference to claims 4, 11 and 18, Kagan teaches the method / system of claims 1, 9 and 16 wherein:

for each RDMA Send message of a TCP hole, placing RDMA Send message specific information to a work queue element (WQE) associated with the respective RDMA Send message [paragraph 47].

In reference to claims 5, 12 and 19, Kagan teaches the method / system of claims 4, 11 and 18 further comprising:

placing the CQE to a completion queue (CQ) upon closing of the TCP hole [paragraph 24].

In reference to claims 6, 13 and 20, Kagan teaches the method / system of claims 4, 11 and 18 wherein:

a number of CQEs is equal to a number of RDMA Send messages of the TCP hole [paragraph 10, 24].

In reference to claims 7, 14 and 21, Kagan teaches the method / system of claims 4, 11 and 18 wherein:

RDMA Send message specific information is retrieved from a respective WQE upon a Poll-for-Completion request by an RDMA verb interface [paragraph 57].

In reference to claims 8, 15 and 22, Kagan teaches the method / system of claims 1, 9 and 16 further comprises:

storing a number of completed RDMA Read Response messages on a per TCP hole basis [paragraphs 48, 49 and 57 ]; and

reporting completion of RDMA Read work requests upon closing of the TCP hole [paragraphs 57 and 58 ].

### ***Conclusion***

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William J. Goodchild whose telephone number is (571) 270-1589. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday / 9:00 AM - 5:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Cardone can be reached on (571) 272-3933. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

WJG  
08/16/2007



JASON CARDONE  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER